Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 12/27/2016 12:34:08 PM Filing ID: 98335 Accepted 12/27/2016

BEFORE THE POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES
PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 160 (MC2016-29)
NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

Docket No. CP2016-35

RESPONSES OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 1

(December 27, 2016)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides its responses to Chairman's Information Request No. 1, issued December 21, 2016. Responses were due December 23, 2016. Each question is reprinted verbatim in the attached, and is followed by the Postal Service's response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product Support

Valerie J. Pelton Elizabeth A. Reed

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-3179, Fax -6187 elizabeth.a.reed@usps.gov December 27, 2016

RESPONSES OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 1

1. Please explain how the pricing structure contemplated by amended section I.E does not have a material effect on the cost coverage provided by sections I.F-K of the original agreement.

RESPONSE:

The Postal Service has today withdrawn the amendment to Priority Mail Contract 160 because it was inadvertently filed in the wrong docket. The amendment is being refiled today in Docket No. CP2016-6, as an amendment to Priority Mail Contract 148. The Postal Service is including supporting financial documentation and a certified statement as required by 39 C.F.R. § 3015.5 with that filing.

RESPONSES OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 1

2. Please provide sufficient revenue and cost data for the 12-month period following the effective date of the proposed amendment to demonstrate that the pricing structure contemplated by revised section I.E will be sufficient to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(1).

RESPONSE:

The Postal Service has today withdrawn the amendment to Priority Mail Contract 160 because it was inadvertently filed in the wrong docket. The amendment is being refiled today in Docket No. CP2016-6, as an amendment to Priority Mail Contract 148. The Postal Service is including supporting financial documentation and a certified statement as required by 39 C.F.R. § 3015.5 with that filing.